

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,301	09/10/2003	Brett P. Giroir	UTSD:1477	5400	
23379	7590 08/09/2006		EXAMINER		
	RON OSMAN	CROWDER, CHUN			
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP 242 AVE VISTA DEL OCEANO SAN CLEMEMTE, CA 92672			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/660,301	GIROIR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chun Crowder	1644	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Crowder	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	í).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
(a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	siacted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		geoleu ciaiiris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324)
·		omphant Amendmen	(1 10L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amenda	ant canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii subilitiled iii a separate	, unlery med amendi	tent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	wided below or appended	vill be entered and an سری کی سری رید BEL, PH.D ک	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	. 100		
Claim(s) objected to:	PHILLIP GAM	IRET' LH'N 2 /7	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .	PRIMARY E	XAIMINEH	11
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		TO 1600 7/27	100
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will p	not be entered
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		and the set fillings as build	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record, applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. Further, the newly amended claim 1 recites "test MIF" that introduces new matter, consistent with the previous to the rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description, New Matter. The specification as filed does not provide sufficient written description of the above-mentioned "limitations". Moreover, during patent examination, the pending claims must be given "the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification". Thus, when claim 1 is given its broadest reasonable interpretation cardiovascular risk metric can include diabetic condition as discussed in the previous Office Action.